



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/560,768	04/18/2006	Geoff Downton	248-00324	5149
Joseph J Jochman Andrus Scales Starke & Sawall 100 East Wisconsin Avenue Suite 1100 Milwaukee, WI 53202				
EXAMINER				
TSAY, FRANK				
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
3676				
MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
02/11/2008		PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<p style="text-align: center;">Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</p>	<p>Application No. 10/560,768</p>	<p>Applicant(s) DOWNTON, GEOFF</p>	
	<p>Examiner Frank S. Tsay</p>	<p>Art Unit 3676</p>	

All Participants:

(1) Frank S. Tsay.

(2) Bill Falk.

Date of Interview: 29 January 2008

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☒ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Time: 10:00 AM

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
The newly found prior art referece US 2,695,565 to Seifeld will be used to reject claims 23 and 24 unser 35 USC 102(b), ane US 4,319,649 is redable on claim 25 and will be rejected under 35 USC 102(b).

Claims discussed:
 23-25

Prior art documents discussed:
 US 2,695,565, and US 4,319,649.

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowabiity.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Frank S Tsay/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3676

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The previously allowable claims 23-25 will be withdrawn from allowance and a new action will be issued if the rejectable claims were not canceled. Applicant agrees with with examiner in a subsequent call on February 01, 2008 to cancel claims 23-25 so that the subject application may proceed to issue.-.